

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/045,125

Applicant(s)

YONA ET AL

Examiner

Greg Bengzon

Art Unit

2144

## All Participants:

(1) Greg Bengzon.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_

## Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Raymond Reese, Reg.No. 47891.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 28 August 2006

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


## Part II.

### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Mr. Reese expressed desire to abandon the application via telephonic interview.*

## Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



WILLIAM VAUGHN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

